

# Alternative Rendering Approaches

- This semester's material has been influenced by a key constraint: “real-time” or “interactive” computer graphics — this implies that a user can actively influence a displayed scene at a sufficiently rapid rate
- However we have seen that this constraint results in certain tradeoffs — in particular, lighting and shading is necessarily *local*, to avoid the computational complexity of multiple objects interacting with each other
- So...what if it *doesn't* have to be real-time?

## Ray Tracing

- Instead of rendering from vertex to pixel, render from pixel to vertex
- For every pixel in the screen display, *cast* a ray from the eye through the pixel into the scene
- As the ray travels through the scene, modify the light that affects that ray as it hits objects and light source
- Naturally recursive: cast a ray, and when the ray hits “something,” cast one or more rays or terminate — the color upon termination is the color of the pixel

# Where the Ray Can Go

- *Ray intersects nothing* — return background color
  - *Ray intersects a surface* — recursively cast one or more rays from that surface
    - ◇ *Reflected ray*: light bouncing off that surface
    - ◇ *Transmitted ray*: lighting emitted by or passing through that surface
  - *Ray intersects a light source* — return the color of that light source
- 
- Arbitrary shadows, reflections, and translucency “for free” — natural consequence of following rays through each pixel
  - Computational complexity: numbers of surfaces and rays cast are not easily bounded, and thus this is not a “real time” approach
  - Key function is *intersection* — which objects does a ray hit? Function varies according to type of object and how they are represented, and is pretty much the key operation in the algorithm
  - Ray tracing without recursion (e.g., terminate at background or a single surface) == local lighting

# The Rendering Equation

- Theoretical foundation for a number of specific implementations
- Based on physics conservation laws: the amount of energy emitted (light sources) is the same as the amount of energy absorbed and reflected (material properties)

$$i(p, p') = v(p, p') \left[ \epsilon(p, p') + \int \rho(p, p', p'') i(p', p'') dp'' \right]$$

- $i$  is the light at point  $p$  coming from point  $p'$
- $v$  is either:
  - ◇ zero if an opaque surface lies in between  $p$  and  $p'$
  - ◇  $1 / \text{distance}^2$  otherwise
- $\epsilon$  is the light, if any, that is emitted at  $p'$  (in other words,  $p'$  is a light source)
- $p''$  represents the set of points whose light is reflected by  $p'$  toward  $p$ ;  $\rho$  represents how the material properties of  $p'$  affect that light, and  $i$  represents this same function for  $p'$  and  $p''$

# Radiosity

- Simplification of the rendering equation through a key assumption: what if all surfaces were *perfectly diffuse* (i.e., they reflect light equally in all directions)
  - Then, we can capture *diffuse–diffuse interactions* — in other words, how does light reflected by a perfectly diffuse surface affect the other perfectly diffuse surfaces around it?
  - With radiosity rendering, each surface is called a *patch*, and each patch has a single color, derived through conventional lighting models
- 
- Given  $n$  patches from 1 to  $n$ , for every patch  $i$ , let  $b_i$  be the light reflected by that patch per unit area
  - If  $a_i$  is the area of patch  $i$ , then the total light reflected is  $b_i a_i$  (light per unit area times area)
  - Given a possible component  $e_i$  representing light emitted by patch  $i$ , a reflective component  $\rho_i$  that represents the light from other patches that strike patch  $i$ , and a *form factor*  $f_{ij}$  that represents how the light from some patch  $j$  affects patch  $i$ , one can model the total light from patch  $i$  as:

$$b_i a_i = e_i a_i + \rho_i \sum_{j=0}^n f_{ij} b_j a_j$$

# Equation Derivation

- The reciprocity equation expresses that the relationship between two patches  $i$  and  $j$  has a degree of symmetry:

$$f_{ij}a_i = f_{ji}a_j$$

- Thus, we can swap  $a_i$  for  $a_j$  (since we are looping through all  $i$  then summing through all  $j$ ):

$$b_i a_i = e_i a_i + \rho_i \sum_{j=0}^n f_{ij} b_j a_i$$

- Divide through by  $a_i$  for the final radiosity equation:

$$b_i = e_i + \rho_i \sum_{j=0}^n f_{ij} b_j$$

- Note how, as the area gets infinitely smaller, the summation becomes integration — and the radiosity equation becomes the rendering equation
- Solving the radiosity equation serves as the basis for *radiosity rendering*
- Key trick — calculating the *form factor*: essentially, how the relative distances and angles from one patch to another modify the energy sent by one patch to the other patch